doi:10.15199/48.2022.03.09

Oscillation Theorems for Third Order Neutral Delay Difference Equation with Negative Coefficient in the Neutral Term

Abstract. The article describes the new oscillation criteria which improves the existing results for the third order neutral delay difference equation $\Delta^2 \left(a_n \Delta \left(x_n - p_n x_{n-l} \right) \right) - q_n f \left(x_{n-m} \right) = 0 \quad \text{with the negative coefficient in the neutral term is obtained. Where } l, m > 0, \{ p_n \} and \{ q_n \} \text{ are } l = 0 \text{ and } l = 0 \text{ are } l =$ positive sequences.

Streszczenie. W artykule opisano nowe kryteria oscylacji, które poprawiają dotychczasowe wyniki dla równania różnicy opóźnienia neutralnego trzeciego rzędu $\Delta^2(a_n\Delta(x_n-p_nx_{n-l}))-q_nf(x_{n-m})=0$ z ujemnym współczynnikiem w członie neutralnym. Gdzie są sekwencje dodatnie. (Twierdzenia o oscylacji dla równania różnicy neutralnego opóźnienia trzeciego rzędu z ujemnym współczynnikiem w członie neutralnym)

Keywords: Oscillation, Neutral delay, Third - order, Positive Sequence Słowa kluczowe: oscylacje, neutralne równanie różnicowe

1.Introduction

Here some new sufficient conditions which insure that every solution of the above equation either oscillates or non oscillates is given. [1] R. Grace, John R.Garef discussed about the Oscillatory Behaviour of Third order nonlinear differential equations with a nonlinear non positive neutral

[2] Osama Moaaz, discusses about the oscillation criteria of third order Neutral Delay Differential Equations. [5] Ozkan Ocalan extensively discusses the problem of Oscillation of neutral differential equation with positive and negative coefficients Here we provided oscillation results in third order difference equation based on the existence of third order differential equations. .Consider the third order Neutral Delay Difference Equation,

(1.1)
$$\Delta^2 (a_n \Delta (x_n - p_n x_{n-l})) - q_n f(x_{n-m}) = 0$$

With the negative coefficient in the neutral term, Where $l,m>0,\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ are positive sequences.

Theorem 1.1

Every solution of the equation(1.1) is oscillatory, for some $\rho_n p_n > 0, f_{nm} > f_n f_m, l, m > 0, \text{ if }$

$$\frac{2a_{n+1}w_{n+1}q_{n+1}f(z_{n+1-m})}{\rho_{n+1}} < \frac{a_{n+2}w_{n+2}q_{n+2}f(z_{n+2-m})}{\rho_{n+2}}$$
 where $z_n = x_n - p_n x_{n-1}, w_n = \frac{\rho_n \Delta z_n}{q_n f(z_{n-m})}$.

Proof: Suppose X_n be a non-oscillatory solution

Without the loss of generality, let us assume that x_n is eventually positive solution of equation (1.1). If $z_n = x_n - p_n x_{n-l}$, then equation (1.1) $\Delta^2(a_n\Delta(z_n)) - q_n f(z_{n-m} + p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l}) = 0$

(1.2)
$$\Delta(a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} - a_n\Delta z_n) - q_n f(z_{n-m} + p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l}) = 0$$
$$\Delta(a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} - a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} + a_n\Delta z_n) - q_n f(z_{n-m} + p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l}) = 0$$

If
$$w_n = \frac{\rho_n \Delta z_n}{q_n f(z_{n-m})}$$
 then $w_n > 0$

Then equation (1.2) becomes

$$\frac{a_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!2} w_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!2} q_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!2} f(z_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!2\!-\!m})}{\rho_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!2}} - 2 \frac{a_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!1} w_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!1} q_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!1} f(z_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!1\!-\!m})}{\rho_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!+\!1}} + \frac{a_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n} w_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n} q_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n} f(z_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!-\!m})}{\rho_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n}} - q_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n} f(z_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!-\!m} + p_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!-\!m} x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle n\!-\!m\!-\!1}) \!=\! 0$$

$$\frac{a_{n}w_{n}q_{n}f(z_{n-m})}{\rho_{n}} = q_{n}f(z_{n-m} - p_{n-m}x_{n-m-1}) - \frac{a_{n+2}w_{n+2}q_{n+2}f(z_{n+2-m})}{\rho_{n+2}} + 2\frac{a_{n+1}w_{n+1}q_{n+1}f(z_{n+1-m})}{\rho_{n+1}}$$

From the condition

$$\frac{2a_{n+1}w_{n+1}q_{n+1}f(z_{n+1-m})}{\rho_{n+1}} < \frac{a_{n+2}w_{n+2}q_{n+2}f(z_{n+2-m})}{\rho_{n+2}}$$

$$\frac{a_{n}w_{n}q_{n}f(z_{n-m})}{\rho_{n+2}} < q_{n}f(z_{n-m} + p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l})$$

$$\frac{a_{n}w_{n}q_{n}f(z_{n-m})}{\rho_{n}} < q_{n}f(z_{n-m} + p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l})$$

$$w_n < \frac{q_n f(z_{n-m} + p_{n-m} x_{n-m-l}) \rho_n}{a_n q_n f(z_{n-m})}$$

$$W_{n} < \frac{q_{n}f(z_{n-m} + p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l})\rho_{n}}{a_{n}q_{n}f(z_{n-m})}$$

$$W_{n} < \frac{q_{n}f(z_{n-m})f(1 + p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l})\rho_{n}}{a_{n}q_{n}f(z_{n-m})}$$

$$w_n < \frac{f(1+p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l})\rho_n}{a_n}$$

$$\frac{f(1+p_{n-m}x_{n-m-l})\rho_n}{a_n} > w_n$$

Generalizing

$$\sum_{s=n_0}^n \frac{\rho_s}{a_s} f(1+p_{s-m}x_{s-m-l}) > w_s$$
 When $s \to \infty$

$$\sum_{s=n_0}^{n} \frac{\rho_s}{a_s} f(1 + p_{s-m} x_{s-m-l}) > w_s$$

Which is not possible

Hence every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

If X_n is an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1) and

$$(1.3) z_n = x_n - p_n x_{n-1}$$

then for sufficiently large n, the condition $z_n > 0, \Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) > 0$ exists.

Proof: Let X_n is an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1). Then there exists $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that $x_{n-l} > 0$ for $n \ge n_1$. From the definition of z_n , it is clear that $z_n > 0$, $n \ge n_1$

We claim that $\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) > 0, n \ge n$

Suppose $\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) \le 0$ for $n \ge n_2$, Since $a_n > 0$

Then $a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} - a_n\Delta z_n \leq 0$

$$a_{n+1} \Delta z_{n+1} \le a_n \Delta z_n$$

$$\Delta z_{n+1} \le \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \Delta z_n$$

Taking summation from n_2 to n,

$$\sum_{n_2}^n \Delta z_{n+1} \le \sum_{n_2}^n \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \Delta z_n$$

$$\sum_{n_2}^n \Delta z_{n+1} \le \frac{a_{n_2}}{a_{n_2+1}} \Delta z_{n_2} + \frac{a_{n_2+1}}{a_{n_2+2}} \Delta z_{n_2+1} + \frac{a_{n_2+2}}{a_{n_2+3}} \Delta z_{n_2+2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \Delta z_n$$

$$\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2}^n z_{\scriptscriptstyle n+2} - z_{\scriptscriptstyle n+1} \leq \frac{a_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2}}{a_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2+1}} \Delta z_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2} + \frac{a_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2+1}}{a_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2+2}} \Delta z_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2+1} + \frac{a_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2+2}}{a_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2+3}} \Delta z_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2+2} + \ldots + \frac{a_{\scriptscriptstyle n}}{a_{\scriptscriptstyle n+1}} \Delta z_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\rm S} \\ \text{uppose there exist } n_2 \geq n_1 \text{ such that } \Delta^2 x_{\scriptscriptstyle n_2} < 0.$$

$$z_n \to -\infty$$
 as $n \to \infty$

Which contradicts the equation (1.3)

Hence we have $\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) > 0$ for all n.

Theorem 1.3

If X_n is an eventually negative solution of equation (1.1) and zn is defined by equation (1.3).then for sufficiently large n, the condition $z_n < 0, \Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) < 0$ exists.

Proof: Let X_n is an eventually negative solution of equation (1.1). Then there exists $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that

$$x_{n-l} < 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_1$$

From the definition of z_n , it is clear that $z_n < 0$ (1.4)

We claim that $\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) < 0, n \ge n_2$

Suppose $\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) \ge 0$ for $n \ge n_2$

Since $a_n > 0$, then $a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} - a_n\Delta z_n \ge 0$

$$a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} \ge a_n \Delta z_n$$

$$\Delta z_{n+1} \geq \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \Delta z_n$$

Taking summation from n_2 to n

$$\sum_{n_2}^n \Delta z_{n+1} \ge \sum_{n_2}^n \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \Delta z_n$$

$$\sum_{n_2}^n \Delta z_{n+1} \geq \frac{a_{n_2}}{a_{n_2+1}} \, \Delta z_{n_2} + \frac{a_{n_2+1}}{a_{n_2+2}} \, \Delta z_{n_2+1} + \frac{a_{n_2+2}}{a_{n_2+3}} \, \Delta z_{n_2+2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \, \Delta z_n$$

$$\sum_{n_{2}}^{n} Z_{n+2} - Z_{n+1} \geq \frac{a_{n_{2}}}{a_{n,+1}} \Delta Z_{n_{2}} + \frac{a_{n_{2}+1}}{a_{n,+2}} \Delta Z_{n_{2}+1} + \frac{a_{n_{2}+2}}{a_{n,+3}} \Delta Z_{n_{2}+2} + + \frac{a_{n}}{a_{n+1}} \Delta Z_{n_{2}}$$

$$z_n \to \infty$$
 as $n \to \infty$

Which contradicts the equation (1.4)

Theorem 1.4

Assume $f(x_{n-m}) = x_{n-m}$, $p_n = 0$, $a_n = 1$ equation (1.1)

(1.5)
$$\Delta^3 x_n - q_n(x_{n-m}) = 0, n \in N(n_0)$$

If X_n is an eventually positive solution of the equation (1.5)

then there exist $x_n \ge x_{n-k} > 0, \Delta^2 x_n > 0$, for all

 $n \ge n_0$

Proof

From the equation (1.5)

$$\Delta^3 x_n = q_n(x_{n-m}) > 0, n \in N(n_0)$$

$$\Delta^{2}(x_{n+1}-x_{n})=q_{n}(x_{n-m})>0, n\in N(n_{0})$$

$$(1.6) \Delta^2 x_{n+1} > \Delta^2 x_n$$

So $\Delta^2 x_n$ is eventually increasing. Since q_n is a positive function, the decreasing function $\Delta^2 x_n$ is either eventually positive or eventually negative.

Taking summation from n_2 to s the equation (1.6) becomes,

$$\sum_{n=n}^{s} \Delta^2 x_{n+1} > \sum_{n=n}^{s} \Delta^2 x_n$$

$$\sum_{n=n}^{s} \Delta(x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}) > \sum_{n=n}^{s} \Delta(x_{n+1} - x_n)$$

$$\sum_{n=n_2}^{s} x_{n+3} - x_{n+2} - \Delta x_{n+1} > \sum_{n=n_2}^{s} x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} - x_{n+1} + x_n$$

$$-\chi_{\underline{b}+2} + \chi_{\underline{b}+1} + \chi_{\underline{b}+4} - \chi_{\underline{b}+3} + \dots + \chi_{\underline{s}+3} - \chi_{\underline{s}+2} - \chi_{\underline{s}+2} + \chi_{\underline{s}+1} > \sum_{n=\underline{n}}^{s} \chi_{\underline{s}+2} - \chi_{\underline{s}+1} - \chi_{\underline{s}+1} + \chi_{\underline{n}}$$

$$>-x_{n+1}+\dots+x_{s+2}-x_{s+1}-(-x_{n_2}+x_{n_2+2}+\dots+x_{s+1}-x_n)$$

$$>-x_{n+1}+x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}+x_{n-1}$$

$$-x_{n_2+2} + x_{s+3} + x_{n_2+1} - x_{s+2} > -x_{n_2+1} + x_{s+2} - x_{s+1} + x_{n_2}$$

$$x_{s+3} - x_{s+2} - x_{s+2} + x_{s+1} > x_{n_2+2} - x_{n_2+1} - x_{n_2+1} + x_{n_2}$$

$$x_{s+3} - x_{s+2} - \Delta x_{s+1} > \Delta x_{n_{s+1}} - \Delta x_{n_{s}}$$

$$x_{s+3} > \Delta x_{n_2+1} - \Delta x_{n_2} + x_{s+2} + \Delta x_{s+1}$$

When $n \to \infty$ and $x_n > \infty$

Which is a contradiction. Hence $\Delta^2 x_n > 0$, for all $n \ge n_0$.

Conclusions:

In this paper some new oscillation criteria for third order neutral delay difference equation is obtained by utilising summation average techniques and comparison principle. The aim of this study is to develop the new criteria for the oscillation, so that we apply them when other criteria fails. In future, we extend this results for higher order neutral delay difference equations.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank all the people who helped to carry out this Research work.

Authors: R. Jesinthaangel, Research Scholar, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India, Email: angelr9695@gmail.com Dr.G. Gomathi Jawahar, Assistant Professor, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India,

Email: jawahargomathi@yahoo.com

REFERENCES:

[1] R. Grace , John R.Garef, "Oscillatory Behaviour of Third order nonlinear differential equations with a nonlinear non positive neutral term," 704-710,2019.

2019.

[2] Osama Moaaz, "Third-Order Neutral Delay Differential Equations: New Iterative Criteria for Oscillation," Journal of Function Spaces Volume Article ID 6666061. 2020.

- [3] Yibing Sun and Yige Zhao, "Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of third-order neutral delay differential equations with distributed deviating arguments," AIMS Mathematics, 5(5): 5076–5093, 2020.
- [4] Osama Moaaz , Ali Muhib, Saud Owyed, Emad E. Mahmoud, and Aml Abdelnaser, "Second-Order Neutral Differential Equations: Improved Criteria for Testing the Oscillation," Hindawi Journal of Mathematics Volume 2021, Article ID 6665103. 2021.
- [5] Ozkan Ocalan, "Oscillation of neutral differential equation with positive and Negative coefficients," j.Math.Anal,.Appl.331 (1). 644-654, 2007.
- [6] A. Murugesan and R. Suganthi, "Oscillation Criteria for nonlinear functional neutral delay difference equations with variable coefficients." International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention 2321 - 4759 Volume 4, Issue 10, PP-35-40, December 2016.