Digital FM Demodulator with Reduced Computational Complexity

**Abstract.** This paper describes a simple implementation of FM demodulator with reduced computational complexity. Key idea is to avoid sinus, cosine, arctan functions to increase performance at the expense of slightly reduced quality of demodulated signal. This allows implement the demodulator using simple microcontrollers without special digital signal processing features. Additional benefit is the possibility to demodulate FM signals in a relatively wide range, without changing of sampling and local oscillator frequencies.

**Introduction.** The key idea of proposed in this paper FM demodulator [1-6] was to propose simple algorithm which avoids usage of sinus, cosine, arctan functions to be able implement it in simple microcontrollers which does not contain digital signal processing (DSP) hardware accelerators. The demodulator output signal could have not significant distortions in expense of applied few simplifications. Demodulation of the FM signals is widely used in various spheres of human activity, in particular, in the construction of interference-resistant information transmission systems and microwave motion sensors with modulation of the electromagnetic waves reflected back from the explored object [7, 8].

**Mathematical Justifications of Considered Simplifications**

The main purpose of this chapter is to show that at specific value of delay in the polar frequency discriminator the approximate value of modulating signal could be obtained by calculating only the imaginary part of product \( Y \) in Figure 1.

The FM-signal in general is described by the following equation:

\[
I(t) = A_c \cdot \sin(2\pi f_c t + \varphi_{FM}(t)) + \varphi_0
\]

where: \( A_c \) – amplitude of carrier, \( f_c \) – frequency of carrier, \( \varphi_0 \) – initial phase of carrier, \( \varphi_{FM} \) – phase shift caused by frequency modulation.

Let consider \( \varphi_0 \) equal to zero for simplification as it does not impact to the final results.

The equations describing time dependencies \( I \) and \( Q \) components are the following:

\[
I(t) = A_c \cdot \sin(2\pi f_c t + \varphi_{FM}(t)) \cdot A_{osc} \cdot \sin(2\pi f_{osc} t) =
\]

\[
= \frac{A_c \cdot A_{osc}}{2} \cdot (\cos(2\pi f_c t + \varphi_{FM}(t) - 2\pi f_{osc} t) - \cos(2\pi f_c t + \varphi_{FM}(t) + 2\pi f_{osc} t))
\]

\[
Q(t) = A_c \cdot \sin(2\pi f_c t + \varphi_{FM}(t)) \cdot A_{osc} \cdot \cos(2\pi f_{osc} t) =
\]

\[
= \frac{A_c \cdot A_{osc}}{2} \cdot (\sin(2\pi f_c t + \varphi_{FM}(t) - 2\pi f_{osc} t) + \sin(2\pi f_c t + \varphi_{FM}(t) + 2\pi f_{osc} t))
\]

where: \( A_{osc} \) – amplitude of local oscillator, \( f_{osc} \) – frequency of local oscillator.

After simplification and considering that LPF filter suppresses frequency component \( f_c + f_{osc} \) the equations for \( I \) and \( Q \) components will look as below:

\[
I(t) = \frac{A_c \cdot A_{osc}}{2} \cdot \cos(2\pi f_c t + \varphi_{FM}(t))
\]
In Equations (4) and (5) let denote \( \frac{A_r \cdot A_{osc}}{2} \) as \( A \).

Considering Equations (4), (5) and (7), the output signal could be expressed as follows:

\[
S_{out} \approx \text{Im}(Y) = Q \cdot t' - I \cdot Q' =
A^2 \cdot \sin(2\pi(f_c - f_{osc})t + \varphi_{FM}(t)) \times
\cos(2\pi(f_c - f_{osc})(t - \Delta t) + \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t)) - A^2 \times
\cos(2\pi(f_c - f_{osc})t + \varphi_{FM}(t)) \times
\sin(2\pi(f_c - f_{osc})(t - \Delta t) + \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t))
\]

This expression could be considered as:

\[
\text{Im}(Y) = A^2 \cdot (\sin(\alpha) \cdot \cos(\beta) - \cos(\alpha) \cdot \sin(\beta))
\]

where: \( \alpha = 2\pi(f_c - f_{osc})t + \varphi_{FM}(t) \) and \( \beta = 2\pi(f_c - f_{osc})(t - \Delta t) + \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t) \).

By applying the angle difference trigonometrical identity to the expressions above the \( \text{Im}(Y) \) could be expressed as:

\[
\text{Im}(Y) = A^2 \cdot \sin(\alpha - \beta) = A^2 \times
\sin(2\pi(f_c - f_{osc}) \cdot \Delta t + \varphi_{FM}(t - \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t)))
\]

In the expression above it could be proven that at specific values of \( \Delta t \) (delay in polar discriminator), the \( \text{Im}(Y) \) is zero in case of no FM modulation (\( \varphi_{FM}(t) - \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t) = 0 \)). This specific delay could be obtained from the following equation:

\[
n \cdot \pi = 2\pi(f_c - f_{osc}) \cdot \Delta t, \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{Z}
\]

By solving this equation, the delay is calculated by the following expression:

\[
\Delta t = \frac{n}{2(f_c - f_{osc})}
\]

This delay physically is the number of beat half periods caused by difference of carrier and local oscillator frequencies.

In case of FM modulation is present and value of \( \Delta t \) fulfills the expression above the \( \text{Im}(Y) \) is calculated as below:

\[
\text{Im}(Y) = A^2 \cdot \sin(\varphi_{FM}(t) - \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t))
\]

And considering small angle approximation the output signal is calculated with the following expression:

\[
S_{out} \approx A^2 \cdot (\varphi_{FM}(t) - \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t))
\]

Note, in equation (14) it is considered that phase shift \( \varphi_{FM}(t) - \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t) \) caused by modulating signal is small enough that allows applying the small angle approximation. This expression shows that output signal is proportional to the phase shift caused by modulating signal and correspondingly to modulating signals itself.

In general, the phase caused by FM modulation is defined by the following expression:

\[
\varphi_{FM}(t) = K_f \cdot \int_0^t S_m(t) \, dt
\]

where: \( K_f \) – frequency sensitivity, \( S_m(t) \) – modulating signal.

The phase shift caused by modulating signal during delay \( \Delta t \) is defined by the following expression:

\[
\varphi_{FM}(t) - \varphi_{FM}(t - \Delta t) = K_f \cdot \int_{t - \Delta t}^t S_m(t) \, dt
\]

Digital Form

This chapter devoted to provide important expressions in case of digital implementation of FM demodulator. Let consider that all processing is performed in digital form and input FM signal is sampled at frequency \( f_s \). Considering Equation (12) the delay of polar discriminator, represented in samples count, is calculated as follow:

\[
\text{delay} = \text{round}\left( \frac{f_s \cdot n}{2|f_c - f_{osc}|} \right)
\]

where: \( \text{round} \) – operation of rounding number to the nearest integer, \( f_s \) – sampling frequency.

\[
\text{Fig. 2. The instant error caused by small angle approximation versus phase shift}
\]

The maximal phase shift caused by small angle approximation considering Equation (16) in the worst case when modulating signal \( S_m(t) \) is stable at its maximal value equal to the amplitude of modulating signal \( A_m \) is calculated as follow:
The worst instant error caused by small angle approximation versus phase shift, calculated by Equation (18), is shown in Figure 2.

Simplified Digital FM Demodulator

In this chapter the structure of proposed FM demodulator is described with several peculiarities which were explained above using mathematical justification. The simplified version of FM demodulator is shown in Figure 3.

The first simplification is that local oscillator uses the square orthogonal functions instead of sinus and cosine functions. The orthogonal functions could take values of 1 or -1 only. From the implementation point of view, it means do not change the input sample in case of “1” and just invert its sign in case of “-1”. Then the resulting samples are feed to the LPFs. To implement delay of \( I \) and \( Q \) components two circular buffers are used with size corresponds to required delay.

The relation of sampling frequency to local oscillator frequency \( f_{osc} \) must be multiple of 4 as shown below:

\[
(19) \quad f_s = 4 \cdot \text{oversampling} \cdot f_{osc}, \text{oversampling} \in Z
\]

For example, in case of \( \text{oversampling} = 1 \) the local oscillator in Figure 3 produces the following sequences:

\[
(20) \quad I_{osc} = [1,1,1,1]; Q_{osc} = [1,1,1,1]
\]

in case of \( \text{oversampling} = 2 \) the following:

\[
(21) \quad I_{osc} = [1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1]; Q_{osc} = [1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1]
\]

and so on.

Figure 4 shows peculiarities of considered FM demodulator in terms of frequency ranges where FM signal could be demodulated.

There are two ranges A and B where the FM signal could be demodulated without changes of sampling and local oscillator frequencies. Between these ranges there is a gap G where FM signals could not be demodulated. The center of this gap is local oscillator frequency. The width of this gap is relatively very small in comparison with ranges A and B and is explained in chapters above. But in case of FM signal located in this gap G the only way is to change sampling or local oscillator frequency.

Low Pass Filters Consideration

For the most of configurations of proposed FM demodulator the 1-st order IIR LPF filter could be used with the following parameters: passband up to 0,1 of sampling frequency with nonuniformity -6 dB, stopband starting from 0,35 of sampling frequency with -20 dB attenuation. The structure and amplitude frequency response of such digital filter is shown in Figure 5.

The coefficients \( b_0 = 0.1584 \) and \( a_1 = -0.6832 \) were obtained using Filter Design Analysis tool PyFDA. Alternative filters could be applied depending on computation capabilities.

Verification with Python Model

The Python verification model could be found at the following GitHub repository: https://github.com/mspartak/science in folder “demodulator_nfm”.

File demodulator_nfm.py contains Python class which implements proposed in this paper FM demodulator. After instantiation of the object of this class the method \(<\text{init}>\) need to be called. Then each sample of FM signal need to be processed by \(<\text{demodulate_nfm}>\) method which return one sample of demodulated signal. The \(<\text{demodulate_nfm}>\) function could be easily adapted to microcontrollers implementation, for example using C language. The usage example is in the testbench Python script in file <demodulator_testbench.py>. The script <demodulator_model.py> contains the same model organized in more readable way, but not applicable for direct implementation in microcontrollers, because it uses Python libraries functions.
is applied to show it on the same plot with the modulating signal and to show that output signal waveform matches to the modulating signal pretty well.

Figure 7 shows example how the same modulating signal is applied to different carrier frequencies. In Figure 7 (left) the carrier frequency is relatively close to the local oscillator frequency and in Figure 7 (right) it is far, but as it is shown the waveforms in both cases match to the modulating signal pretty well. Additionally, it is visible that signal is inverted in Figure 7. It is due to the number of beat half periods $n$ in Equation (17) is odd in left plot and even in right plot.

The other parameters used in these examples are as follow: 
oversampling $= 1$; $K_f = 1000$ Hz/V; carrier amplitude $A_c = 1$ V. Modulating signal described as sum of harmonics with given frequencies and amplitudes. For instance, modulating signal $370$ Hz @ $0.5$ V + $962$ Hz @ $0.5$ V means that it obtained as sum of two harmonics with frequencies $370$ Hz and $962$ Hz and amplitude $0.5$ V each of them.

Some more examples are in the GitHub link mentioned above in subfolder “results”. Also, the custom examples could be obtained by changing the input parameters in the <demodulator_testbench.py> script and executing it.

Conclusions

This paper describes a simple implementation of FM demodulator. The strict recommendations and tuning explained in this paper need to be considered in order to obtain proper work of the demodulator.

As it is shown in Equations (17) and (18) the following conclusions could be made and considered during the implementation:

1) Increasing of delay increases Shift causing to increasing of error. At the same time the sensitivity of demodulator to phase shift caused by FM modulation is increased per Equation (14);

2) The output signal is inverted if delay consist of odd number of half beat periods comparing to signal when delay consists of even number of half beat periods as was shown in Figure 7;

3) At small value of $f_c - f_{osc}$ per Equation (17) the value of delay increased and leads to large value of Shift. So, cases $f_c = f_{osc}$ and $f_c \approx f_{osc}$ caused to not possible usage of demodulator when carrier frequency is close to the local oscillator frequency;

4) For the particular value of $|f_c - f_{osc}|$ and parameters of FM signal the parameters delay need to be calculated and the Shift evaluated. Such tuning of FM demodulator needs to be done to tune to the necessary carrier frequency;

5) The delay calculated by Equation (17) involves rounding to the nearest integer. This causes to appearance of high frequency component on the demodulator output. But usage of LPF filter on its output suppresses this component good enough.

Advantages of considered in this paper FM demodulator:

- Fully avoided sinus, cosine and arctan functions that allow to increase the performance at the expense of slightly reduced quality of demodulated signal caused by small angle approximation. Approximately the following computation needed to be done for each sample of FM signal: 10 to 13 multiplications, 9 additions, few assignments and logical operations;
The local oscillator don't need to be precisely tuned to carrier frequency, but instead the parameter delay needs to be recalculated. The FM signal could be demodulated in relatively wide range of carrier frequencies.

Python model is available to tune and verify FM demodulator with variety of parameters.

The drawback of considered in this paper FM demodulator is the not significant distortion of the output signal due to application of a small angle approximation. This could lead to limitation of wideband FM signals demodulation.
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